Medicanes: the UIB toolbox. Physical processes, predictability and climatological studies



Universitat de les Illes Balears

Víctor Homar, R. Romero, A. Jansà, D. Carrió, M. Cardell, M. A. Picornell^{*} *Meteorology Group*

/ictor.Homar@uib.cat

9 March 2017 * AEMET, Regional Center @ Balearic Islands



Techniques in the UIB toolbox







Physical processes

Factors Separation PV inversion

Accumulated & Instantaneous attributions Predictability aspects

Assimilation challenges

Covariances exploration

Climatological analysis

Statistical downscaling

Synthetic environments



UIB first paper (Homar et al. 2003)

Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. (2003), 129, pp. 1469-1490

doi: 10.1256/qj.01.91

Numerical diagnosis of a small, quasi-tropical cyclone over the western Mediterranean: Dynamical vs. boundary factors

By V. HOMAR^{1*}, R. ROMERO¹, D. J. STENSRUD², C. RAMIS¹ and S. ALONSO^{1,3}

¹Universitat de les Illes Balears, Spain ²NOAA/National Severe Storms Laboratory, USA ³IMEDEA, UIB-CSIC, Spain

(Received 29 May 2001; revised 19 April 2002)

SUMMARY

A small, quasi-tropical cyclone occurred on 12 September 1996 over the western Mediterranean. Intense convective activity over the region during this period also produced a tornado outbreak in the Balearic Islands and torrential precipitation over eastern mainland Spain.



• Extreme windstorms physically analogous to tropical cyclones (warm-core, surface flux-driven)



Figure 8. Vertical section across the surface cyclone centre (thick line in the ins potential temperature (°C) at 1200 UTC 12 September 19



Figure 6. Sea-level pressure (hPa, full line), 3 h accumulated precipitation (mm, interval of 20 mm, dashed line) and latent-heat flux (W m⁻², shaded) as simulated by the model at: (a) 0300 UTC, (b) 0600 UTC and (c) 1200 UTC 12 September 1996. The dotted line depicts the 5 mm isohyet.



MM5 experiments, factors separation: LHF, PV perturbation

and their synergism: 00 UTC





• Satellite Aqua @ 7 Nov 2014 12:21 UTC:







Potential Vorticity (300 hPa; shaded), geopotential (solid lines) and temperature (dashed lines) at 500 hPa

Figure 3 Potential Vorticity (DVII shaded) at 300 hPa geopotential height (gpm

• Hart diagram over ECMWF operational analysis:

• WRF 2.5 km sensitivity experiments:

(

PV INV + FACT. SEP. diagnosis

PV-BASED PROGNOSTIC SYSTEM (Davis and Emanuel; *MWR* 1991)

0) A balanced flow has been first found using the PV inversion technique: $q \longrightarrow (\phi, \psi)$

1) Tendency of the Charney (1955) nonlinear balance equation:

$$\nabla^2 \phi^t = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot f \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi^t + 2m^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial y^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial x \partial y} \right]$$

2) Tendency of the approximate form of Ertel's PV:

$$\begin{split} q^t &= \frac{g\kappa\pi}{p} \left[(f+m^2\nabla^2\psi) \frac{\partial^2\phi^t}{\partial\pi^2} + m^2 \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\pi^2} \nabla^2\psi^t \\ &- m^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2\psi^t}{\partial x\partial\pi} \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x\partial\pi} + \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x\partial\pi} \frac{\partial^2\phi^t}{\partial x\partial\pi} + \frac{\partial^2\psi^t}{\partial y\partial\pi} \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y\partial\pi} + \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y\partial\pi} \frac{\partial^2\phi^t}{\partial y\partial\pi} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

3) Ertel's PV tendency equation (frictionless but with diabatic term included):

$$q^{t} = -m(\boldsymbol{V}_{\psi} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\chi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} q - \omega^{*} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \pi} + \frac{m}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} LH$$

Horizontal wind Vertical velocity

$$V_{\psi} = m\mathbf{k} \times \nabla \psi$$

 $V_{\chi} = m\nabla \chi$
 $\omega^* = \frac{d\pi}{dt} = \frac{\kappa\pi}{p}\omega$

PV INV + FACT. SEP. diagnosis

PV-BASED PROGNOSTIC SYSTEM

4) Omega equation

$$\begin{split} &f\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left[\pi^{1-1/\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} (\pi^{1/\kappa-1} \omega^*) \right] + m^2 \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \pi^2} \omega^* \right) \\ &- m^2 f \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left(\frac{\partial \omega^*}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x \partial \pi} + \frac{\partial \omega^*}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y \partial \pi} \right) \\ &+ \left(f \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \pi} \frac{1/\kappa - 1}{\pi} - f \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial \pi^2} \right) \omega^* = m^3 \nabla^2 \left[(\boldsymbol{V}_{\psi} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\chi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta \right] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &+ m f \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left[(\boldsymbol{V}_{\psi} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\chi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \eta \right] - m^2 \boldsymbol{\nabla} f \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\frac{\partial \psi^t}{\partial \pi} \right) \\ &- 2m^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial y^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial x \partial y} \right] \\ &- m^2 \nabla^2 L H \end{split}$$

5) Continuity equation:

$$m^{2}\nabla^{2}\chi + \pi^{1-1/\kappa}\frac{\partial}{\partial\pi}(\pi^{1/\kappa-1}\omega^{*}) = 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \chi$$

Lateral B.C (Homogeneous) **Top-Bottom** B.C (Neumann)

 $\phi^t = \psi^t = q^t = \omega^* = \chi = 0$

$$\partial \phi^t / \partial \pi = f \partial \psi^t / \partial \pi = -\theta^t$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^t &= -m(\boldsymbol{V}_{\psi} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\chi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta - \omega^* \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \pi} \\ & + LH \\ \omega_T^* &= 0 \\ \hline \omega_B^* &= \text{Topographic} \end{aligned}$$

PV INV + FACT. SEP. diagnosis

PV-BASED PROGNOSTIC SYSTEM

4) Omega equation

$$\begin{split} &f\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left[\pi^{1-1/\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} (\pi^{1/\kappa-1} \omega^*) \right] + m^2 \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \pi^2} \omega^* \right) \\ &- m^2 f \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left(\frac{\partial \omega^*}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x \partial \pi} + \frac{\partial \omega^*}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y \partial \pi} \right) \\ &+ \left(f \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \pi} \frac{1/\kappa - 1}{\pi} - f \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial \pi^2} \right) \omega^* = m^3 \nabla^2 \left[(\boldsymbol{V}_{\psi} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\chi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta \right] \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &+ m f \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left[(\boldsymbol{V}_{\psi} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\chi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \eta \right] - m^2 \boldsymbol{\nabla} f \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\frac{\partial \psi^t}{\partial \pi} \right) \\ &- 2m^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial y^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^t}{\partial x \partial y} \right] \\ &- m^2 \nabla^2 L H \end{split}$$

5) Continuity equation:

$$m^{2}\nabla^{2}\chi + \pi^{1-1/\kappa}\frac{\partial}{\partial\pi}(\pi^{1/\kappa-1}\omega^{*}) = 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \chi$$

Lateral B.C (Homogeneous) **Top-Bottom** B.C (Neumann)

 $\phi^t = \psi^t = q^t = \omega^* = \chi = 0$

$$\partial \phi^t / \partial \pi = f \partial \psi^t / \partial \pi = -\theta^t$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^t &= -m(\boldsymbol{V}_{\psi} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\chi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta - \omega^* \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \pi} \\ & + LH \\ \omega_T^* &= 0 \\ \hline \omega_B^* &= \text{Topographic} \end{aligned}$$

PV INV + FACT. SEP. diagnosis

PV-based DIAGNOSIS ULev PV perturbation above 700 hPa

LLev Surface thermal anomaly and PV perturbation below 700 hPa

IABPositive PV perturbation below 500 hPa in areas with RH > 70%

Geopotential height perturbation

MEAN

ULev + LLev

ULev + **DIAB**

ULev + LLev + DIAB

Techniques in the UIB toolbox

Physical processes

Factors Separation PV inversion

Accumulated & Instantaneous attributions Predictability aspects

Assimilation challenges

Covariances exploration

Climatological analysis

Statistical downscaling

Synthetic environments

MEDICANE 7th November 2014

 Set up a 1-h assimilation cycle over a 18-h window followed by a 36h forecast, 2.5km (15km larger domain)

Generation of a MEPS: ENKF SETUP

MEDICANE 7th November 2014

- Set up a 1-h assimilation cycle over a 18-h window followed by a 36h forecast, 2.5km (15km larger domain)
- Gaussian localization (300km H, 4km V)
- 24 members, multiphysics [2x(2xMPHY, 3xPBL, 2xRAD)]:
 - Microphysics: Thompson (8); NSSL (17)
 - PBL & SFC: Yonsei Univ. (1); Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (2); Mellor-Yamada Nakanishi Ninno (5)
 - Radiation: Dudhia (1) and RRTMG (2)
- Prior: ECMWF EPS members with largest differences over domain
- Data: "Traditional" metar, radiosonde, acars and marine

Generation of a MEPS: ENKF Safety check

• Spread growth and consolidation after 12 h of EDA:

Generation of a MEPS: EnKF Safety check

• Spread growth and consolidation after 12 h of EDA:

EnKF forecasts: mean fields and spread

- Mean and std MSLP
- Domain 1 (15km)

15°E

20°

25°F

20°F

12, 15, 18 21 UTC

EnKF forecasts: mean fields

- MSLP and SPD 925hPa
- Domain 2 (2.5km)
- T: 4-9UTC 7Nov

- MSLP and SPD 925hPa Domain 2 (2.5km)
- T: 10-15UTC 7Nov

35°N

8

4

0

15°E

15°E

8

4

0

35°N

8

4

0

15°E

35°N

EnKF forecasts: individual members

- MSLP and thetae-850hPa
- Domain 2 (2.5km)
- T: 14UTC 7Nov (+14h)

Covariances analysis

• The covariance of an scalar J to any other scalar x_{ij} is determined by:

$$COV(J, x_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} (\bar{J} - J^m) (\bar{x}_{ij} - x_{ij}^m)$$

Covariances analysis: EnKF DA

- J: MSLP @ o
- X_{ij}: T @ low levels

Covariances analysis: Physical analysis

- J: MSLP @ 12 UTC
- X_{ij}: H @ low levels

Techniques in the UIB toolbox

Physical processes

Factors Separation PV inversion

Accumulated & Instantaneous attributions Predictability aspects

Assimilation challenges

Covariances exploration

Climatological analysis

Statistical downscaling

Synthetic environments

Statistical-deterministic approach

Developed by Emanuel and his team in the context of the long-term wind risk associated with tropical cyclones:

- Low-cost generation of thousands of synthetic storms
- Statistically robust assessment of risk (e.g. return periods for winds)
- Genesis: Random draws from observed PDF or Random seeding
- Track: Randomly varying synthetic winds (respecting climatology)
- Environment: Previous winds + monthly-mean thermodynamic fields
- Intensity and radial distribution of winds: CHIPS model

Return Period 34 kt Summary

<u>CORR</u>

<u>RMSE</u>

1 3

-3 -1 0

century

<u>CORR</u> REAn01 = 0.604 REAn02 = 0.649 MEAN = <u>0.626</u>

<u>RMSE</u> REAn01 = 4.972 REAn02 = 8.418 MEAN = <u>6.695</u>

> Return Period 60 kt Summary

Techniques in the UIB toolbox

Physical processes

Factors Separation PV inversion

Accumulated & Instantaneous attributions Predictability aspects

Assimilation challenges

Covariances exploration

Climatological analysis

Statistical downscaling

Synthetic environments

(Somewhat Outdated) Catalog of cases:

http://www.uib.es/depart/dfs/meteorologia/METEOROLOGIA/MEDICANES

Thank you!

Victor.Homar@uib.cat

Universitat de les Illes Balears